

# Space-time discontinuous Galerkin methods and discontinuous Petrov-Galerkin methods for hyperbolic linear symmetric Friedrichs systems

Christian Wieners

Institut für Angewandte und Numerische Mathematik

## The linear acoustic wave equation

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a bounded domain in space with Lipschitz boundary,  $I = (0, T)$  a time interval, and  $Q = (0, T) \times \Omega$  the space-time cylinder. The second-order wave equation

$$\rho \partial_t^2 \phi - \nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla \phi) = b$$

is considered as first-order system with  $p = \partial_t \phi$  and  $\mathbf{q} = -\kappa \nabla \phi$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \partial_t p + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} &= b \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t \mathbf{q} + \kappa \nabla p = \mathbf{0} && \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ p(0) &= p_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{q}(0) = \mathbf{q}_0 && \text{in } \Omega \text{ at } t = 0, \\ p(t) &= p_D(t) \text{ on } \Gamma_D \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}(t) = g_N(t) \text{ on } \Gamma_N && \text{on } \partial\Omega \text{ for } t \in (0, T) \end{aligned}$$

with volume data  $b$ , boundary data  $g_N$ ,  $p_D$ , initial data  $\mathbf{q}_0$ ,  $p_0$ , uniformly positive parameters  $\rho$ ,  $\kappa$ , and the disjoint decomposition of the boundary  $\partial\Omega = \Gamma_D \cup \Gamma_N$ .

$(p, \mathbf{q}) \in L_2(Q; \mathbb{R}^{1+d})$  is a *weak solution*, if

$$\begin{aligned} &-(p, \rho \partial_t \varphi)_Q - (\mathbf{q}, \kappa^{-1} \partial_t \boldsymbol{\eta})_Q - (p, \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta})_Q - (\mathbf{q}, \nabla p)_Q \\ &= (b, \varphi)_Q + (p_0, \varphi(0))_\Omega + (\mathbf{q}_0, \boldsymbol{\eta}(0))_\Omega - (p_D, \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta})_{(0, T) \times \Gamma_D} - (g_N, \varphi)_{(0, T) \times \Gamma_N} \end{aligned}$$

for smooth test functions  $(\varphi, \boldsymbol{\eta})$  with  $\varphi(T) = 0$  and  $\boldsymbol{\eta}(T) = \mathbf{0}$ .

## The linear acoustic wave equation

The corresponding Friedrichs system with  $m = 1 + d$  components is given by

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ \mathbf{q} \end{pmatrix}, \quad M\mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} \varrho\rho \\ \kappa^{-1}\mathbf{q} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A\mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} \\ \nabla \rho \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{A}_n \mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q} \\ \rho \mathbf{n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{f} = \begin{pmatrix} b \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{g} = \begin{pmatrix} g_N \\ \rho_D \mathbf{n} \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $L\mathbf{u} = M\partial_t \mathbf{u} + A\mathbf{u}$ ,  $L^* = -L$ , so that for test functions  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{V}^*$

$$(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{w})_Q = (L\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w})_Q = (\mathbf{u}, L^*\mathbf{w})_Q - (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}(0))_\Omega + (\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{w})_{(0,T) \times \partial\Omega}$$

with  $\mathcal{V}^* = \left\{ \mathbf{w} = (\varphi, \boldsymbol{\eta}) \in C^1(\overline{Q}; \mathbb{R}^m) : \mathbf{w}(T) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \varphi = 0 \text{ on } (0, T) \times \Gamma_D, \right. \\ \left. \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta} = 0 \text{ on } (0, T) \times \Gamma_N \right\}.$

Our aim is to find a *weak solution*  $\mathbf{u} \in L_2(Q; \mathbb{R}^m)$  solving for all  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{V}^*$

$$(\mathbf{u}, L^*\mathbf{w})_Q = \langle \ell, \mathbf{w} \rangle \quad \text{with} \quad \langle \ell, \mathbf{w} \rangle = (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{w})_Q + (M\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}(0))_\Omega - (\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{w})_{(0,T) \times \partial\Omega}$$

for given volume data  $\mathbf{f} \in L_2(Q; \mathbb{R}^m)$ , initial data  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ , and boundary data  $\mathbf{g} \in L_2((0, T) \times \partial\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ , where the boundary data  $\mathbf{g} = (g_k)_{k=1, \dots, m}$  are extended to  $\partial\Omega$  by  $g_k = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma_k$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m$ .

The weak solution is also a *strong solution* if

$$L\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f} \text{ in } L_2(Q; \mathbb{R}^m), \quad \mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0 \text{ in } L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m), \quad (\underline{A}_n \mathbf{u})_k = g_k \text{ on } L_2((0, T) \times \Gamma_k), \quad \forall k.$$

## Existence of a weak solution

### Lemma

$\|M^{1/2}\mathbf{w}\|_Q \leq C_L^* \|M^{-1/2}L^*\mathbf{w}\|_Q$  for  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{V}^*$  holds with  $C_L^* = 2T$ .

Define  $Z = \{\mathbf{w} = (\varphi, \boldsymbol{\eta}) \in H^1(\Omega) \times H(\text{div}, \Omega) : \varphi = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_D, \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_N\}$ .

### Lemma

Assume that  $M + \tau A : Z \rightarrow L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$  is surjective for all  $\tau > 0$ .

Then,  $L^*(\mathcal{V}^*) \subset L_2(Q; \mathbb{R}^m)$  is dense.

This is proved by time stepping and holds for our application to acoustics.

We define the quadratic functional  $J^*(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \|M^{-1/2}L^*\mathbf{w}\|_Q^2 - \langle \ell, \mathbf{w} \rangle$  for  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{V}^*$ .

Let  $V^*$  be the closure of  $\mathcal{V}^*$  in  $\{\mathbf{v} \in L_2(Q; \mathbb{R}^m) : L\mathbf{v} \in L_2(Q; \mathbb{R}^m)\}$ .

### Theorem

Assume that  $C_\ell > 0$  exists with  $|\langle \ell, \mathbf{w} \rangle| \leq C_\ell \|M^{-1/2}L^*\mathbf{w}\|_Q$  for  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{V}^*$ .

Then, a unique minimizer  $\mathbf{z} \in V^*$  of  $J^*(\cdot)$  exists, and  $\mathbf{u} = L^*\mathbf{z} \in L_2(Q; \mathbb{R}^m)$  is the unique weak solution of

$$(\mathbf{u}, L^*\mathbf{w})_Q = \langle \ell, \mathbf{w} \rangle, \quad \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{V}^*.$$

# The DG finite element space in the space-time cylinder

For  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = T$ , we define time intervals  $I_{n,h} = (t_{n-1}, t_n)$  and

$$I_h = (t_0, t_1) \cup \dots \cup (t_{N-1}, t_N) \subset I = (0, T), \quad \partial I_h = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{N-1}, t_N\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{K}_h$  be a mesh so that  $\Omega_h = \bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{K}_h} K$  is a decomposition in space into open cells  $K \subset \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . We obtain a decomposition into  $R = I_{n,h} \times K$  and

$$Q_h = I_h \times \Omega_h = \bigcup_{n=1}^N Q_{n,h} = \bigcup_{R \in \mathcal{R}_h} R, \quad Q_{n,h} = \bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{K}_h} I_{n,h} \times K \subset I_{n,h} \times \Omega.$$

We select a finite dimensional subspace  $V_h \subset \mathcal{V}_h \subset C^1(Q_h; \mathbb{R}^m)$ , where

$$\mathcal{V}_h = \{ \mathbf{v}_h \in C^1(Q_h; \mathbb{R}^m) : \mathbf{v}_{n,h,K} = \mathbf{v}_h|_{I_{n,h} \times K} \text{ extends to } \mathbf{v}_{n,h,K} \in C^0(\overline{I_{n,h} \times K}; \mathbb{R}^m) \}.$$

Let  $F \in \mathcal{F}_K$  be the faces of the element  $K$ , and we set  $\mathcal{F}_h = \bigcup_K \mathcal{F}_K$ .

We define the time-step sizes  $\Delta t_n = t_n - t_{n-1}$  and  $\Delta t = \max \Delta t_n$ .

We set  $h_K = \text{diam } K$ ,  $h_F = \text{diam } F$ , and  $h = \max h_K$ , and we assume  $h_F \geq c_{\text{sr}} h_K$ .

We assume quasi-uniform meshes and shape-regularity.

We assume, depending on a reference velocity  $c_{\text{ref}} > 0$ , that the mesh size in time and space are well balanced satisfying  $c_{\text{ref}} \Delta t \leq h$ .

## The DG discretization

Let  $M_h$  be a piecewise constant approximation of  $M$ , define  $L_h \mathbf{v}_h = M_h \partial_t \mathbf{v}_h + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_h$ .

For  $\mathbf{v}_h = (\rho_h, \mathbf{q}_h) \in \mathcal{V}_h$  and  $\mathbf{w}_h = (\varphi_h, \boldsymbol{\eta}_h) \in \mathcal{V}_h$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (L_h \mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{w}_h)_{Q_h} &= -(\mathbf{v}_h, L_h \mathbf{w}_h)_{Q_h} + \sum_{n=1}^N (M_h \mathbf{v}_{n,h}(t_n), \mathbf{w}_{n,h}(t_n))_{\Omega} - (M_h \mathbf{v}_{n,h}(t_{n-1}), \mathbf{w}_{n,h}(t_{n-1}))_{\Omega} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}_h} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_K} (\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{n}_K} \mathbf{v}_{h,K}, \mathbf{w}_h)_{I_h \times F}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the DPG method, we introduce additional trace degrees of freedom on

- the interfaces in time  $\{t_n\} \times \Omega$  for  $\mathbf{v}_{n,h}(t_n)$
- on the faces in space  $(t_{n-1}, t_n) \times F$  for  $\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{n}_F} \mathbf{v}_{h,K}$  selecting  $\mathbf{n}_F \in \pm \mathbf{n}_K$

## The DG discretization with full upwind

Let  $M_h$  be a piecewise constant approximation of  $M$ , define  $L_h \mathbf{v}_h = M_h \partial_t \mathbf{v}_h + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_h$ .

For  $\mathbf{v}_h = (\rho_h, \mathbf{q}_h) \in \mathcal{V}_h$  and  $\mathbf{w}_h = (\varphi_h, \boldsymbol{\eta}_h) \in \mathcal{V}_h$  we define the discrete bilinear form

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{w}_h) = & -(\mathbf{v}_h, L_h \mathbf{w}_h)_{Q_h} - \sum_{n=1}^N (M_h \mathbf{v}_{n,h}(t_n), [\mathbf{w}_h]_n)_{\Omega} \\
 & + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}_h} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_K} (\mathbf{v}_{h,K}, \underline{\mathbf{A}}_{n_K}^{\text{up}} [\mathbf{w}_h]_{K,F})_{I_h \times F},
 \end{aligned}$$

where the upwind flux  $\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{n_K}^{\text{up}} \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{m \times m}$  is obtained by solving local Riemann problems, and defining  $[\mathbf{w}_h]_n = \mathbf{w}_{n+1,h}(t_n) - \mathbf{w}_{n,h}(t_n)$  for  $n = 1, \dots, N-1$ ,  $[\mathbf{w}_h]_N = -\mathbf{w}_{N,h}(t_N)$ .

On inner faces  $F \subset \Omega$ , we define the jump term  $[\mathbf{w}_h]_{K,F} = \mathbf{w}_{h,K_F} - \mathbf{w}_{h,K}$ .

On boundary faces  $F \subset \partial\Omega$  this depends on the boundary conditions, and we set  $(\underline{\mathbf{A}}_n[\mathbf{v}_h])_k = -2(\underline{\mathbf{A}}_n \mathbf{v}_h)_k$  on  $\Gamma_k \subset \partial\Omega$  and  $(\underline{\mathbf{A}}_n[\mathbf{v}_h])_k = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma_k$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m$ .

For acoustics we have, depending on the impedance  $Z_K = \sqrt{\kappa_{h,K} \rho_{h,K}}$ ,

$$(\mathbf{v}_{h,K}, \underline{\mathbf{A}}_{n_K}^{\text{up}} [\mathbf{w}_h]_{K,F})_F = -\frac{1}{Z_K + Z_{K_F}} (\rho_{K,h} + Z_{K_F} \mathbf{n}_K \cdot \mathbf{q}_{K,h}, [\varphi_h]_{K,F} + Z_K \mathbf{n}_K \cdot [\boldsymbol{\psi}_h]_{K,F})_F,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \ell_h, (\varphi_h, \boldsymbol{\eta}_h) \rangle = & (b, \varphi_h)_Q + (\rho_0, \varphi_h(0))_{\Omega} + (\mathbf{q}_0, \boldsymbol{\eta}_h(0))_{\Omega} \\
 & - (\rho_D, \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}_h - Z_h^{-1} \varphi_h)_{(0,T) \times \Gamma_D} - (\mathbf{g}_D, \varphi_h - Z_h \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}_h)_{(0,T) \times \Gamma_N}.
 \end{aligned}$$

## Consistency of the DG discretization

For  $\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{w}_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$  we have

$$b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{v}_h) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^N \|M_h^{1/2}[\mathbf{v}_h]_n\|_{\Omega}^2 + c_1 \|\underline{A}_n[\mathbf{v}_h]\|_{l_h \times \partial\Omega_h}^2$$

$$b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{v}_h) = 0 \quad \implies \quad b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{w}_h) = (\mathbf{v}_h, L_h^* \mathbf{w}_h)_{Q_h} = (L_h \mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{w}_h)_{Q_h}$$

$$|b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{w}_h) - (\mathbf{v}_h, L_h^* \mathbf{w}_h)_{\Omega_h}| \leq \|M_h^{1/2} \mathbf{v}_h\|_{\partial Q_h} \sqrt{\|M_h^{1/2}[\mathbf{w}_h]\|_{\partial l_h \times \Omega}^2 + C_1 \|\underline{A}_n[\mathbf{w}_h]\|_{l_h \times \partial\Omega_h}^2}$$

$$|b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{w}_h) - (L_h \mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{w}_h)_{\Omega_h}| \leq \sqrt{\|M_h^{1/2}[\mathbf{v}_h]\|_{\partial l_h \times \Omega}^2 + C_1 \|\underline{A}_n[\mathbf{v}_h]\|_{l_h \times \partial\Omega_h}^2} \|M_h^{1/2} \mathbf{w}_h\|_{\partial Q_h}$$

The mesh-dependent DG semi-norm and norm is defined for  $\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$  by

$$|\mathbf{v}_h|_{h, \text{DG}} = \sqrt{b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{v}_h)}, \quad \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{h, \text{DG}} = \sqrt{|\mathbf{v}_h|_{h, \text{DG}}^2 + \|h M_h^{-1/2} L_h \mathbf{v}_h\|_{Q_h}^2}.$$

$$|\mathbf{v}_h|_{h, \text{DG}^+} = \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^N \left( \|M_h^{1/2} \mathbf{v}_{n,h}(t_{n-1})\|_{\Omega}^2 + \|M_h^{1/2} \mathbf{v}_{n,h}(t_n)\|_{\Omega}^2 \right) + C_1 \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}_h} \|M_h^{1/2} \mathbf{v}_h\|_{l_h \times \partial K}^2}$$

and  $\|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{h, \text{DG}^+} = \sqrt{|\mathbf{v}_h|_{h, \text{DG}^+}^2 + \|h^{-1/2} M_h^{1/2} \mathbf{v}_h\|_Q^2}$  yields

$$b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{w}_h) \leq \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{h, \text{DG}} \|\mathbf{w}_h\|_{h, \text{DG}^+} \quad \text{and} \quad b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{w}_h) \leq \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{h, \text{DG}^+} \|\mathbf{w}_h\|_{h, \text{DG}}.$$



## Well-posedness and stability

### Lemma

Define  $d_T(t) = T - t$ . We have

$$\|M_h^{1/2} \mathbf{v}_h\|_Q^2 + T \|M_h^{1/2} \mathbf{v}_h(0)\|_\Omega^2 \leq 2 b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, d_T \mathbf{v}_h), \quad \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathcal{V}_h.$$

Let  $V_h \subset \mathbb{P}(Q_h; \mathbb{R}^m) \subset \mathcal{V}_h$  be a finite dimensional subspace.

### Lemma

A unique discrete approximation  $\mathbf{u}_h \in V_h$  exists solving

$$b_h(\mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{v}_h) = \langle \ell_h, \mathbf{v}_h \rangle, \quad \mathbf{v}_h \in V_h.$$

Depending on  $\|h^{1/2} M_h^{-1/2} L_h \mathbf{v}_h\|_{Q_h} \leq C_{\text{inv}} \|h^{-1/2} M_h^{1/2} \mathbf{v}_h\|_Q$  and  $\|M_h^{1/2} \mathbf{v}_h\|_{\partial Q_h} \leq C_{\text{tr}} \|h^{-1/2} M_h^{1/2} \mathbf{v}_h\|_Q$  for  $\mathbf{v}_h \in V_h$  we obtain

### Theorem

$$\sup_{\mathbf{w}_h \in V_h \setminus \{0\}} \frac{b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{w}_h)}{\|\mathbf{w}_h\|_{h, \text{DG}}} \geq c_{\text{inf-sup}} \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{h, \text{DG}}, \quad \mathbf{v}_h \in V_h \text{ with } c_{\text{inf-sup}} = \frac{1}{4 + 2C_{\text{tr}}^2 + 2C_{\text{inv}}}.$$

## Explicit construction of the inf-sup estimate

For given  $\mathbf{v}_h \in V_h \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  we define  $\mathbf{z}_h = hM_h^{-1}L_h\mathbf{v}_h \in V_h$ , and we obtain

$$|\mathbf{z}_h|_{h,\text{DG}^+} \leq C_{\text{tr}} \|h^{-1/2}M_h^{1/2}\mathbf{z}_h\|_{Q_h} = C_{\text{tr}} \|h^{1/2}M_h^{-1/2}L_h\mathbf{v}_h\|_{Q_h} \leq C_{\text{tr}} \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{h,\text{DG}}.$$

Together with the inverse inequality this yields  $\|\mathbf{z}_h\|_{h,\text{DG}}^2 \leq (C_{\text{tr}}^2 + C_{\text{inv}}^2) \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{h,\text{DG}}^2$ .  
 We observe

$$(L_h\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{z}_h)_{Q_h} - b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{z}_h) \leq |\mathbf{v}_h|_{h,\text{DG}} |\mathbf{z}_h|_{h,\text{DG}^+} \leq \frac{C_{\text{tr}}^2}{2} |\mathbf{v}_h|_{h,\text{DG}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{h,\text{DG}}^2.$$

This yields

$$\|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{h,\text{DG}}^2 \leq |\mathbf{v}_h|_{h,\text{DG}}^2 + \frac{C_{\text{tr}}^2}{2} |\mathbf{v}_h|_{h,\text{DG}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{h,\text{DG}}^2 + b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{z}_h),$$

so that with  $C_2 = 2 + C_{\text{tr}}^2$

$$\|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{h,\text{DG}}^2 \leq C_2 |\mathbf{v}_h|_{h,\text{DG}}^2 + 2 b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{z}_h) = b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, C_2\mathbf{v}_h + 2\mathbf{z}_h).$$

We obtain the assertion with  $\mathbf{y}_h = C_2\mathbf{v}_h + 2\mathbf{z}_h$  by

$$\|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{h,\text{DG}}^2 \leq \|\mathbf{y}_h\|_{h,\text{DG}} \frac{b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{y}_h)}{\|\mathbf{y}_h\|_{h,\text{DG}}} \leq C_{\text{inf-sup}}^{-1} \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{h,\text{DG}} \sup_{\mathbf{w}_h \in V_h \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} \frac{b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{w}_h)}{\|\mathbf{w}_h\|_{h,\text{DG}}}.$$

## Convergence in the DG norm

### Theorem

Assume that the solution is sufficiently smooth satisfying  $\mathbf{u} \in H^s(Q; \mathbb{R}^m)$  with  $s \geq 1$ . Then, the error for the discrete solution  $\mathbf{u}_h \in V_h$  is bounded by

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{h, \text{DG}} \leq Ch^{s-1/2} \|D^s \mathbf{u}\|_Q + CT h^{-1/2} \|M_h^{-1/2} (M_h - M) \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_Q.$$

$C > 0$  depends on mesh regularity / polynomial degree / material parameters.

### Corollary

$$\|\mathbf{u}(t_n) - \mathbf{u}_{n,h}(t_n)\|_\Omega \leq Ch^{s-1/2} \|D^s \mathbf{u}\|_{(0,t_n) \times \Omega} + C t_n h^{-1/2} \|M_h^{-1/2} (M_h - M) \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{(0,t_n) \times \Omega}$$

If  $M$  is discontinuous and the parameters  $\varrho$  and  $\kappa$  are not resolved by the mesh, the consistency error can be estimated in case of higher regularity:

$$\|(M_h^{-1/2} (M_h - M) \partial_t \mathbf{u})\|_Q \leq \|M_h^{-1/2} (M - M_h) M^{-1/2}\|_{L_{2q/(2-q)}(\Omega)} \|M^{1/2} \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(0, T; L_q(\Omega))}$$

in case of  $\partial_t \mathbf{u} \in L_2(0, T; L_q(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m))$  with  $q > 2$ .

## The direct minimal residual approach

The DG solution  $\mathbf{u}_h \in V_h$  is the unique minimizer of the functional

$$J_{h,\text{DG}}(\mathbf{v}_h) = \sup_{\mathbf{w}_h \in V_h \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{w}_h) - \langle \ell_h, \mathbf{w}_h \rangle|}{\|\mathbf{w}_h\|_{h,\text{DG}}}, \quad \mathbf{v}_h \in V_h.$$

with  $J_{h,\text{DG}}(\mathbf{u}_h) = 0$ .

The solution can be obtained by solving a symmetric positive definite Schur complement problem. The Schur complement matrix cannot be computed locally.

## The direct minimal residual approach

The DG solution  $\mathbf{u}_h \in V_h$  is the unique minimizer of the functional

$$J_{h,\text{DG}^+}(\mathbf{v}_h) = \sup_{\mathbf{w}_h \in V_h \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{w}_h) - \langle \ell_h, \mathbf{w}_h \rangle|}{\|\mathbf{w}_h\|_{h,\text{DG}^+}}, \quad \mathbf{v}_h \in V_h$$

with  $J_{h,\text{DG}^+}(\mathbf{u}_h) = 0$ .

The solution can be obtained by solving a symmetric positive definite Schur complement problem. The Schur complement matrix can be computed locally.

Therefore, define operators  $M_{n,K,\text{DG}^+}$  and  $B_{n,K}$  with

$$\|\mathbf{w}_h\|_{h,\text{DG}^+}^2 = \sum_n \sum_K (M_{n,K,\text{DG}^+} \mathbf{w}_h, \mathbf{w}_h)_{I_{n,h} \times K},$$

$$b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{w}_h) = \sum_n \sum_K (B_{n,K} \mathbf{w}_h, \mathbf{w}_h)_{I_{n,h} \times K},$$

$$\begin{aligned} (B_{n,K} \mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{w}_h)_{I_{n,h} \times K} &= -(\mathbf{v}_h, L_h \mathbf{w}_h)_{I_{n,h} \times K} \\ &\quad - (M_h \mathbf{v}_{n,h}(t_n), [\mathbf{w}_h]_n)_\Omega + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_K} (\mathbf{v}_{h,K}, \underline{A}_{nK}^{\text{up}} [\mathbf{w}_h]_{K,F})_{I_{n,h} \times F}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$S_h \mathbf{u}_h = \mathbf{f}_h \text{ with } S_h = \sum_n \sum_K B_{n,K}^\top M_{n,K,\text{DG}^+}^{-1} B_{n,K}, \quad (\mathbf{f}_h, \mathbf{w}_h)_Q = \langle \ell_h, \mathbf{w}_h \rangle.$$

## The ideal minimal residual approach

A minimizer  $\mathbf{u}_h^{\text{exact}} \in V_h$  of

$$J(\mathbf{v}_h) = \sup_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{V}^* \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} \frac{|b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{w}) - \langle \ell_h, \mathbf{w} \rangle|}{\|\mathbf{w}\|_{h, \text{DG}}} = \sup_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{V}^* \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} \frac{|b_h(\mathbf{v}_h - \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w})|}{\|\mathbf{w}\|_{h, \text{DG}}}, \quad \mathbf{v}_h \in V_h$$

cannot be computed numerically.

Inf-sup stability in the DG norm is not valid in  $\mathcal{V}_h = \prod_{n,K} C^1(\overline{I_{n,h} \times K}; \mathbb{R}^m)$ .

## The practical minimal residual approach

Select an extended DG space  $V_h \subset V_h^{\text{ext}} \subset \mathcal{V}_h$ .

We define for all  $\mathbf{v}_h \in V_h$  the solution  $\mathbf{v}_h^* \in V_h^{\text{ext}}$  of

$$(\mathbf{v}_h^*, \mathbf{w}_h)_{h, \text{DG}^+} = b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{w}_h), \quad \mathbf{w}_h \in V_h^{\text{ext}},$$

the trial-to-test operator  $\Theta_{h, \text{DG}^+} : V_h \rightarrow V_h^{\text{ext}}$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_h \mapsto \mathbf{v}_h^*$ , and the dual test space

$$V_{h, \text{DG}^+}^* = \{ \Theta_{h, \text{DG}^+}(\mathbf{v}_h) : \mathbf{v}_h \in V_h \} = \Theta_{h, \text{DG}^+}(V_h).$$

We have  $\dim V_{h, \text{DG}^+}^* = \dim V_h$ , and a unique Petrov-Galerkin solution  $\mathbf{u}_{h, \text{DG}^+} \in V_h$

$$b_h(\mathbf{u}_{h, \text{DG}^+}, \mathbf{w}_h) = \langle \ell_h, \mathbf{w}_h \rangle, \quad \mathbf{w}_h \in V_{h, \text{DG}^+}^*.$$

exists.

The solution can be obtained by solving a symmetric positive definite Schur complement problem. The Schur complement matrix can be computed locally.

Mesh-independent inf-sup stability is an open problem.

## The nonlocal practical minimal residual approach

Now we define the trial-to-test operator  $\Theta_{h,\text{DG}} : V_h \longrightarrow V_h^{\text{ext}}$  by

$$(\Theta_{h,\text{DG}}(\mathbf{v}_h), \mathbf{w}_h)_{h,\text{DG}} = b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{w}_h), \quad \mathbf{w}_h \in V_h^{\text{ext}}$$

and  $V_{h,\text{DG}}^* = \Theta_{h,\text{DG}}(V_h)$ . We have  $\dim V_{h,\text{DG}}^* = \dim V_h$ .

A unique Petrov-Galerkin solution  $\mathbf{u}_{h,\text{DG}} \in V_h$  exists:

$$b_h(\mathbf{u}_{h,\text{DG}}, \mathbf{w}_h) = \langle \ell_h, \mathbf{w}_h \rangle, \quad \mathbf{w}_h \in V_{h,\text{DG}}^*.$$

We have inf-sup stability for  $\mathbf{v}_h \in V_h$

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\text{inf-sup}}^{\text{ext}} \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{h,\text{DG}} &\leq \sup_{\mathbf{w}_h \in V_h^{\text{ext}} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{w}_h)}{\|\mathbf{w}_h\|_{h,\text{DG}}} = \frac{b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \Theta_{h,\text{DG}}(\mathbf{v}_h))}{\|\Theta_{h,\text{DG}}(\mathbf{v}_h)\|_{h,\text{DG}}} \\ &= \sup_{\mathbf{w}_h \in V_{h,\text{DG}}^* \setminus \{0\}} \frac{b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{w}_h)}{\|\mathbf{w}_h\|_{h,\text{DG}}} \end{aligned}$$

and thus convergence.



## A DPG discretization

For the special case that in  $V_h^{\text{tr}} \subset V_h$  the traces at the inner interfaces coincide we define the trace spaces (selecting  $\mathbf{n}_F$  for  $F \in \mathcal{F}_h$ )

$$\widehat{V}_{n,h,\Omega} = \{\mathbf{v}_{n,h}(t_n) : \mathbf{v}_h \in V_h^{\text{tr}}\} = \{\mathbf{v}_{n+1,h}(t_n) : \mathbf{v}_h \in V_h^{\text{tr}}\}, \quad n = 1, \dots, N-1,$$

$$\widehat{V}_{n,h,F} = \{\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{n}_F} \mathbf{v}_{n,h,K} |_{I_{n,h} \times F} : \mathbf{v}_h \in V_h^{\text{tr}}\}, \quad F \in \mathcal{F}_K \cap \Omega,$$

$$\widehat{V}_h = \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} \widehat{V}_{n,h,\Omega} \times \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{F \in \mathcal{F}_h \cap \Omega} \widehat{V}_{n,h,F}.$$

and the corresponding trace mapping  $\gamma_h: V_h^{\text{tr}} \rightarrow \widehat{V}_h$ .

For  $(\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h) \in V_h \times \widehat{V}_h$  and  $\mathbf{w}_h \in V_h^{\text{ext}}$  we define the discrete bilinear form

$$\begin{aligned} b_h^{\text{DPG}}((\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h), \mathbf{w}_h) &= -(\mathbf{v}_h, L_h \mathbf{w}_h)_{Q_h} - \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (M_h \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h(t_n), [\mathbf{w}_h]_n)_{\Omega} + (M_h \mathbf{v}_h(t_N), \mathbf{w}_h(t_N))_{\Omega} \\ &\quad + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}_h} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_K \cap \Omega} (\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h, \underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{n}_K}^{\text{up}} [\mathbf{w}_h]_{K,F})_{I_h \times F} + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_h \cap \partial \Omega} (\mathbf{v}_h, \underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{n}_K}^{\text{up}} [\mathbf{w}_h]_{K,F})_{I_h \times F} \end{aligned}$$

We assume  $\{(\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h) \in V_h \times \widehat{V}_h : b_h^{\text{DPG}}((\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h), \mathbf{w}_h) = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{w}_h \in V_h^{\text{ext}}\} = \{(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})\}$ .

## A DPG method

We define the norms

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|(\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h)\|_{h,\text{DPG}} &= \left( h \|M^{-1/2} L_h \mathbf{v}_h\|_{Q_h}^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \|M_h^{1/2} \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h(t_n)\|_{\Omega}^2 + \|M_h^{1/2} \mathbf{v}_h(t_N)\|_{\Omega}^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}_h} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_K \cap \Omega} \|\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h\|_{l_h \times F}^2 + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_h \cap \partial\Omega} \|A_{n_K}^{\text{up}} \mathbf{v}_h\|_{l_h \times F}^2 \right)^{1/2}, \\
 \|\mathbf{w}_h\|_{h,\text{DPG}^+} &= \left( h^{-1} \|M^{1/2} \mathbf{w}_h\|_{Q_h}^2 + \sum_{n=1}^N \left( \|M_h^{1/2} \mathbf{w}_{n,h}(t_{n-1})\|_{\Omega}^2 + \|M_h^{1/2} \mathbf{w}_{n,h}(t_n)\|_{\Omega}^2 \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}_h} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_K} \|A_{n_K} \mathbf{w}_h\|_{l_h \times F}^2 \right)^{1/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have continuity  $|b_h^{\text{DPG}}((\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h), \mathbf{w}_h)| \leq \|(\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h)\|_{h,\text{DPG}} \|\mathbf{w}_h\|_{h,\text{DPG}^+}$ .

Now we define the trial-to-test operator  $\Theta_{h,\text{DPG}^+} : V_h \times \widehat{V}_h \rightarrow V_h^{\text{ext}}$  by

$$(\Theta_{h,\text{DPG}^+}(\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h), \mathbf{w}_h)_{h,\text{DPG}^+} = b_h^{\text{DPG}}((\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h), \mathbf{w}_h), \quad \mathbf{w}_h \in V_h^{\text{ext}}$$

and  $V_{h,\text{DPG}^+}^* = \Theta_{h,\text{DPG}^+}(V_h)$ .

We have  $\|\Theta_{h,\text{DPG}^+}(\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h)\|_{h,\text{DPG}^+} \leq \|(\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h)\|_{h,\text{DPG}}$ .

## Inf-sup stability of the DPG method

Assume that  $\mathbf{y}_h \in V_h^{\text{ext}}$  can be constructed such that

$$\|(\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h)\|_{h,\text{DPG}}^2 \leq C_1 b_h^{\text{DPG}}((\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h), \mathbf{y}_h)$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{y}_h\|_{h,\text{DPG}^+} \leq C_2 \|(\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h)\|_{h,\text{DPG}}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h)\|_{h,\text{DPG}}^2 &\leq C_1 b_h^{\text{DPG}}((\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h), \mathbf{z}_h) \leq C_1 \|\mathbf{y}_h\|_{h,\text{DPG}^+} \frac{b_h^{\text{DPG}}((\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h), \mathbf{y}_h)}{\|\mathbf{y}_h\|_{h,\text{DPG}^+}} \\ &\leq C_1 C_2 \|(\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h)\|_{h,\text{DPG}} \frac{b_h^{\text{DPG}}((\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h), \mathbf{y}_h)}{\|\mathbf{y}_h\|_{h,\text{DPG}^+}}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields inf-sup stability in  $(V_h \times \widehat{V}_h) \times V_h^{\text{ext}}$  and also in  $(V_h \times \widehat{V}_h) \times V_{h,\text{DPG}^+}^*$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{C_1 C_2} \|(\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h)\|_{h,\text{DPG}} &\leq \frac{b_h^{\text{DPG}}((\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h), \mathbf{y}_h)}{\|\mathbf{y}_h\|_{h,\text{DPG}^+}} \leq \sup_{\mathbf{w}_h \in V_h^{\text{ext}} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} \frac{b_h^{\text{DPG}}((\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h), \mathbf{w}_h)}{\|\mathbf{w}_h\|_{h,\text{DPG}^+}} \\ &= \frac{b_h^{\text{DPG}}((\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h), \Theta_{h,\text{DPG}^+}(\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h))}{\|\Theta_{h,\text{DPG}^+}(\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h)\|_{h,\text{DPG}^+}} = \sup_{\mathbf{w}_h \in V_{h,\text{DPG}^+}^* \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} \frac{b_h^{\text{DPG}}((\mathbf{v}_h, \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_h), \mathbf{w}_h)}{\|\mathbf{w}_h\|_{h,\text{DPG}^+}}. \end{aligned}$$

## Summary and Outlook

We aim to transfer the error estimate for the DG solution with full upwind

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{h, \text{DG}} \leq Ch^{s-1/2} \|D^s \mathbf{u}\|_Q + CT h^{-1/2} \|M_h^{-1/2} (M_h - M) \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_Q$$

to the DPG method.

- The constants depend on the inverse estimates and thus on the polynomial degree in  $V_h$ ; thus, we get larger constants in  $V_h^{\text{ext}}$ .
- Both solutions can be obtained by a symmetric positive definite system.
- It has to be checked numerically, which method is more efficient.

Di Pietro / Ern: Mathematical aspects of discontinuous Galerkin methods. Springer 2011

Ern / Guermond: Finite elements III: First-Order and Time-Dependent PDEs. Springer 2021

Bansal / Moiola / Perugia / Schwab: Space-time discontinuous Galerkin approximation of acoustic waves with point singularities. IMA J. Num. Anal. 2021

Dörfler / Wieners: Space-time approximations for linear acoustic, elastic, and electro-magnetic wave equations. Lecture Notes for the MFO seminar on wave phenomena 2019

Corallo / Dörfler / Wieners: Space-time discontinuous Galerkin methods for weak solutions of hyperbolic linear symmetric Friedrichs systems. Preprint 2022